A cross-sectional analysis of snacking habits, eating habits, physical activity, and indicators of obesity among high school students in Jakarta, Indonesia
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Abstract

Background: Changes in the lifestyle and eating habits of people in large cities in Indonesia have increased the risk of obesity. In Indonesia, the prevalence of obesity in adolescents increased from 1.6% in 2013 to 4.0% in 2018; in Jakarta, it increased from 4.2% in 2013 to 8.3% in 2018. Obesity is closely related to health behavior in adolescence, and it is a risk factor for the occurrence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs). This study aimed to describe the snacking habits, eating habits, physical activity, and indicators of obesity among adolescents in Jakarta.

Design and Methods: This study used a cross-sectional design involving 170, 10th–12th grade public high school students in Jakarta Province, recruited using purposive sampling technique. Using standardized instruments, the following surveys were delivered online: The Beverage and Snack Questionnaire, the Eating Habits Questionnaire, and the Youth Risk Behavior Survey Questionnaire (Q78). The Chi-squared test was used to determine the correlation between the study’s variables.

Results: The majority of the 170 respondents were female (81.2%). Among the respondents, 11.2% were obese and 6.5% were overweight. Of the 170 respondents 52.4% had the habit of snacking, 64.1% had healthy eating habits, 73.5% engaged in physical activity ≤3 days/week, 79.4% watched TV ≤3 hours/day, and 61.2% played video games and spent time on computers ≥3 hours/day. The bivariate analysis results showed that there was no significant relationship between snacking habits, eating habits, physical activity, and indicators of obesity among adolescents in Jakarta.

Conclusions: In general, the respondents in our study were found to have a healthy lifestyle, thus helping to prevent the development of an NCD. However, a number of the respondents were overweight and obese.

Introduction

Obesity has become one of the most serious public health challenges of the 21st century. Previously, obesity was often associated with high-income countries; currently, it is also found in low- and middle-income countries.1

Recently, changes in the lifestyle and dietary habits of people living in large cities in Indonesia have increased the prevalence of obesity.2 According to Indonesian Basic Health Research, in Indonesia, the prevalence of obesity among adolescents aged 16–18 years increased from 1.6% in 2013 to 4.0% in 2018; specifically, in Jakarta, the prevalence increased from 4.2% in 2013 to 8.3% in 2018. DKI Jakarta has become the province with the highest prevalence of obesity in Indonesia.3

Obesity is one of the risk factors for non-communicable diseases (NCDs).2,4 In general, the health consequences of NCDs caused by obesity include cardiovascular disease (especially heart disease and stroke), diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders, and cancer.1

Obesity is closely related to adolescents’ health behavior. During adolescence, teenagers are prone to nutritional vulnerability; thus, this age group has a high risk of obesity. This is due to lifestyle changes, such as the lack of physical activity and eating or snacking habits.5

In U.S. snacking habits in schoolchildren increased from 74% in 1977–1978 to 98% in 2003–2006.6 Snacking habits with high energy intake and snacks consumed in large quantities will significantly increase the risk of obesity. Eating habits have also become a nutritional problem in adolescents. Uncontrolled eating that has become a habit might lead to cause obesity.6

In addition to excess caloric intake, lack of exercise or low physical activity is a primary factor that increases the risk of obesity. Lack of physical activity is also a risk factor for chronic diseases, especially coronary heart disease.7

Clinically, an excessive amount of body fat is bad for a person’s health. An obesity indicator, such as body mass index (BMI), is the most common parameter for determining an individual’s obesity status.8 BMI is an anthropometric measurement calculated by dividing body mass in kilograms by body height in meters squared (BMI= kg/m2); the result is classified or grouped based on predetermined categories.

Obesity is closely related to health behavior in adolescents, which is also a risk factor for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as cardiovascular disease (especially heart disease and stroke), diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders, and cancer. The emergence of this non-infectious disease is one of the health implications that can occur to someone in the future because of current health behavior. Therefore, obesity that occurs in adolescence needs to be considered, because obesity that occurs in adolescents will continue into adulthood and will be difficult to overcome conventionally (diet and exercise). With this research, it is hoped that it can become a reference material for the community, educational institutions, and the government to optimize programs on how to choose food and educate health behaviors to reduce excess weight in adolescents.
Measuring risk of obesity is an important step to prevent obesity. However, it is not the only step that should be taken. Identifying and describing factors that could lead to obesity such as snacking habits, eating habits, and physical inactivity are also necessary especially in DKI Jakarta. Identifying and describing factors of obesity such as mentioned is crucial in DKI Jakarta, which has the highest prevalence of obesity in Indonesia. Analysis of those factors will help to identify the contributions of each factor to obesity. Therefore, a specific action to modify the factors can be taken in order to decrease the prevalence of obesity in DKI Jakarta.

**Design and Methods**

This study used a cross-sectional design. Purposive sampling was used; thus, the respondents were selected based on the inclusion criteria and research objectives. The study’s inclusion criteria were: 10th–12th grade students, aged 15–18 years that were willing to participate. The data were collected using questionnaires, which were distributed online. The Chi-squared test was used to examine the correlation between the independent and dependent variables. The sample size was determined using the Lemeshow formula, as follows:

\[
 n = \frac{z^{2}pq}{d^{2}} = \frac{(1.96)^{2} \times 0.083 \times 0.917}{(0.05)^{2}} = 0.0025 \times 116.18 = 117
\]

Based on the result from the calculation, this study should include a minimum of 117 respondents. Nevertheless, in order to anticipate some unintended things, such as incomplete questionnaires or participant dropout, the researcher added 10% to the minimal sample size. The following formula was used to correct the sample size:

\[
 n' = \frac{n}{1 - f} = \frac{117}{1 - 0.1} = 130
\]

Therefore, after being corrected and rounded, the minimum sample size of this study was 130 respondents. However, in this study, a final sample of 170 students was obtained by filling out an online questionnaire.

This study used three independent variables (snacking habits, eating habits, and physical activity) and one dependent variable (obesity indicator/BMI). The Beverage and Snack Questionnaire (BSQ) was used as the snacking habits variable. The Eating Habits Questionnaire was used for the eating habits variable. The Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) Q78 was used for the physical activity variable. BMI measurement was used for the obesity indicator.

The reliability of BSQ has been proven decent in previous research. The coefficients ranged from \( r = 0.72 \) and \( r = 0.74 \) for beverages and snacks, also \( r = 0.82 \) for fruits and vegetable. In addition, the coefficients for food consumed at school are \( r = 0.69 \) to 0.71 and food consumed away from school are \( r = 0.63 \) to 0.70. The validity coefficients for the 19 individual food items ranged from \( r = 0.69 \) to 0.71. This shows that BSQ is a proper questionnaire to use in order to measure snacking habits variable. The YRBS also has decent reliability and validity. Items related to tobacco use have mean kappa=68.8%, items related to unintentional injuries and violence have mean kappa=59.9%, items related to dietary behaviors have mean kappa=50.0%, and items related to physical activity have mean kappa=55.2%. This proves that YRBS is a proper instrument to measure physical activity variable.

**Results**

The data distribution of the respondents’ age showed that the average age of the respondents was 16.51 years (Table 1). Then, the majority of the 170 respondents were female (81.2%). Among the respondents, 11.2% were obese and 6.5% were overweight. Of the 170 respondents, 52.4% had the habit of snacking, 64.1% had healthy eating habits, 73.5% engaged in physical activity ≤3 days/week, 79.4% watched TV <3 hours/day, and 61.2% played video games and spent time on computers ≥3 hours/day (Table 2). Based on the bivariate analysis using snacking habits and BMI using the Chi-squared test, no significant correlation was found between snacking habits and BMI in senior high school adolescents in Jakarta (\( p = 0.62; \alpha = 0.05 \)) with odds ratio (OR) (95% CI) =1.31 (0.59–2.90) (Table 3). The analysis results indicated that there was no significant correlation between eating habits and BMI (\( p = 0.11; \alpha = 0.05 \)) with OR (95% CI) =0.48 (0.22–1.08) (Table 4). The bivariate analysis results for physical activity and BMI showed that there was no significant correlation between these two variables (\( p = 0.79; \alpha = 0.05 \)) and OR (95% CI) = 1.23 (0.52–2.94) (Table 5).

**Discussion**

In this study, the mean age was 16 years. This study’s findings are in line with the results reported by Sugiatmi and Handayani in a study on middle- and late-adolescence (15–18 years). Another study conducted by Kurdanti et al. also included adolescents aged 15–18 years. This is because people aged 10–18 years are prone to nutritional vulnerability and belong to the age group that is at risk of obesity.

In addition to age, the present study also reported results based on the respondents’ sex and school grade level. The findings showed that there were more female respondents than male respondents. This might be because the questionnaires were conducted and distributed online, and both males and females could respond if they met the study’s inclusion criteria. The findings are also comparable to the data on senior high school students in Jakarta, which showed that there are 87,655 female students and 75,799 male students.

Furthermore, most of the study respondents were 10th grade students. This study was conducted on senior high school students in the 10th, 11th, and 12th grades who were estimated to be 15–18-

| Table 1. Respondent distribution based on age (n=170). |
|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Variable  | Mean       | Median | SD     | Min-Max| CI 95% |
| Age       | 16.51      | 16     | 0.937  | 15-18  | 16.37–16.65 |

years-old (classified as middle- and late-adolescence).

Based on the BMI results, 17.6% of the respondents were classified as obese. Several factors, such as age, sex, snacking habits, eating habits, and physical activity, might affect their BMI. Fayasari et al. stated that BMI was significantly influenced by food supply and the consumption of snacks and sweet drinks, and it was specifically associated with the increase in obesity.11

Snacking habits influence BMI. The finding related to snacking habits showed that 52.4% of the respondents indicated that they rarely snacked. Excessive snack consumption (or eating large portions) will increase the risk of obesity. In Indonesia, it is common to find snacks made of high energy ingredients such as processed foods, saturated fat, sugar, and salt, but the consumption of snacks containing vegetables and fruits tend to be less. The excessive intake of these kinds of snacks, if it is not accompanied by an adequate level of physical activity, will increase the risk of obesity in children.6

Eating habits is another factor that influences BMI. The findings revealed that most of the respondents (64.1%) had healthy eating habits. This percentage is similar to the results reported by Benazeera and Chauhan,12 who found that most of the adolescents (69.3%) in their study had healthy eating habits. Puspadewi and Briawan also found that most of the respondents (57.5%) had good eating habits. Thamrin et al. also showed that 63.3% of adolescents had good eating habits.14

The present study also found that 35.9% of the respondents had unhealthy eating habits. This prevalence was lower than the result reported by Puspadewi and Briawan who found that 42.5% of their subjects indicated having unhealthy eating habits.13 An unhealthy eating habit in adolescents is one of factors that might increase the risks for diseases or health problems when they become adults.

In addition to snacking and eating habits, physical activity also contributed to BMI. Kurdanti et al. stated that sex and the lack of physical activity are the factors that contribute to changes in energy balance, which can lead to obesity.5 Moreover, excessive food intake that is not balanced with physical activity will increase the risk of fat accumulation and cause obesity.15 In the present study, the univariate analysis showed that 73.5% of the respondents engaged in physical activity ≤3 days/week. Lack of activity could possibly be one of the main causes for the recent increase in obesity in adolescents.

Watching TV and playing computer games are types of sedentary behavior that can potentially cause obesity. When people frequently engage in activities, such as sitting for a long period of time, watching TV, or using the computer for hours, it could result in engaging in less physical activity, which indirectly leads to excess body weight. In the present study, most of the respondents (79.4%) watched TV <3 hours/day on school days, but they played video games or spent time on the computer for ≥3 hours/day (61.2%) on school days. In addition to excess caloric intake, a sedentary lifestyle followed by a lack of physical activity is one of the main factors contributing to the risk of obesity.16

Using the Chi-squared test, the bivariate analysis results for snacking habits and BMI showed that snacking habits did not significantly correlate to obesity. This finding was in line with a study that Spanos and Hankey conducted on university students in Greece and Scotland, which revealed that there was no significant correlation between BMI and snacking habits.17 However, that study’s finding was not in line with the study conducted by Majeed on students at Dammm University in Saudi Arabia and a study by Lani et al. on subjects aged 9–12 years, which found a correlation between snacking habits and BMI.18,19

Nowadays, snacking cannot be separated from lifestyle habits. The consumption of snacks increased from 74% in 1977–1978 to 98% in 2003–2006.20 The types of snack that are frequently consumed are those that are high in calories and low in fiber. This trend is also followed by the consumption of large portions and sedentary behavior that might cause obesity in children.21

Table 3. Correlation between snacking habits and obesity indicator (n=170).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snacking habits</th>
<th>Obesity indicators</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-obese F (%)</td>
<td>Obese F (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>75 (84.3%)</td>
<td>14 (15.7%)</td>
<td>89 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>65 (80.2%)</td>
<td>16 (19.8%)</td>
<td>81 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140 (82.4%)</td>
<td>30 (17.6%)</td>
<td>170 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correlation between eating habits and obesity indicator (n=170).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eating habits</th>
<th>Obesity indicators</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-obese F (%)</td>
<td>Obese F (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhealthy</td>
<td>46 (75.4%)</td>
<td>15 (24.6%)</td>
<td>61 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>94 (86.2%)</td>
<td>15 (13.8%)</td>
<td>109 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140 (82.4%)</td>
<td>30 (17.6%)</td>
<td>170 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Correlation between physical activity and obesity indicator (n=170)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Obesity indicators</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤3 days/week</td>
<td>104 (83.2%)</td>
<td>21 (16.8%)</td>
<td>125 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 days/week</td>
<td>36 (80%)</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>45 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140 (82.4%)</td>
<td>30 (17.6%)</td>
<td>170 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Snacking habits enable the body to gain additional energy, without realizing that the energy intake exceeds the body’s need and eventually causes fat accumulation. This kind of habit will also result in obesity in children.

In this study, the absence of a correlation between snacking habits and obesity might be due to sociocultural differences and differences in what constitutes healthy behavior. Snacking before feeling hungry will increase the diet quantity in children, which then affects their BMI. Snacking habits did not correlate to BMI. Although total daily energy was associated with nutritional status, snacking only accounted for 10–20% of the daily total energy needs. Therefore, excessive snacking did not correlate to the total daily intake. Furthermore, the analysis of eating habits and BMI using the Chi-squared test also showed no significant correlation between these two variables. This finding was in line with a study conducted by Al Muammar et al. on adolescents aged 12–15 years in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, a study by Benazeera and Chauhan on subjects aged 14 and 15 years, and a study by Mahmoud and Taha on nursing students at Benha University. These three studies showed no significant correlation between eating habits and BMI,12,23,24

However, a study conducted by Walandari et al. on adolescents aged 15–17 years found that eating habits were significantly correlated to obesity. Another study showed that obesity was associated with many lifestyle factors, such as eating unhealthy foods, and this also played an important role in creating an obesogenic environment.26

In this study, adolescent BMI was not related to eating habits. The absence of a correlation between eating habits and the obesity indicator was possible because BMI was not the only factor that was influenced by eating habits; many other factors contributed to BMI. Chronic disease, which might affect an individual’s physical condition and eating habits, could also influence BMI.27 Moreover, socio-economic status can influence BMI because it can affect the purchasing power required to meet a person’s nutritional needs.

The bivariate analysis using the Chi-squared test for the relationship between physical activity and BMI also showed no significant correlation between these two variables. This finding is in line with a study conducted by Cruz et al. on adolescents aged 14–18 years, which showed no correlation between physical activity and BMI.28 However, the findings in this study are not in line with a study conducted by Keykhaei et al. on children aged 7–11 years in Zahedan, Iran, and a study conducted by Krismawati et al., on teens in middle- and late-adolescence, which found a significant correlation between physical activity and BMI.29,30

According to Sartika, physical activity is the main cause of obesity in Indonesia, especially for people with a low level of physical activity.31 Thus, lack of physical activity is the main factor because it can affect the balance between the energy that is produced and released, which then causes obesity.32 An analysis by Mustelin found that children who performed less physical activity had a 1.35-times greater risk of obesity than those who frequently engaged in physical activity.33

In the present study, no significant correlation was found between physical activity and BMI, possibly because BMI was not solely influenced by physical activity. BMI is influenced by many other factors, such as the level of education, knowledge, and socioeconomic status that affect people’s lifestyle habits and daily activity levels, which eventually influence their BMI. Environmental factors also affect the level of physical activity. A study showed that children who lived in an urban area had a 1.2-times greater chance of being obese than children who lived in a rural area. A sedentary lifestyle, such as watching TV and playing video games or spending time on the computer, also result in less physical activity, and people with these habits tend to be obese. This is in line with a study that stated that the physical activity had a slight impact (0.1%) on BMI, while other factors had a greater impact (99.9%).35

In general, the findings in our study have shown that having a healthy lifestyle helps prevent the development of NCDs. However, a number of the study’s respondents were overweight and obese. In the future, a study that aims to develop interventions to raise awareness about the risks of NCDs focusing on weight control is recommended.
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